**Project Deliverable 4**

**Personal Software Process & Quality**

**(PSP2, Exception Handling, GUI development)**

**Points: 50**

**----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------**

**Instructions**:

This assignment has to be completed by each student individually. NO COLLABORATION IS ALLOWED.

Submit the following: YourASURiteID-ProjectDeliverable4.zip This compressed folder should contain the following files:

1. core package/folder containing
   * Connect4.java (Game Logic Module)
   * Connect4ComputerPlayer.java (logic to play against computer; generate computer moves)
2. ui package/folder containing
   * Connect4TextConsole.java (Console-based UI to test the game)
   * Connect4GUI.java (graphical user interface for the game)
3. Documentation folder containing
   * JavaDoc documentation files (index.html and all other supporting files such as .css and .js files generated by the tool).
4. ProjectDeliverable4.docx (or pdf) with Completed TimeLog, Estimation worksheet, Design form, DefectLog, Personal Code Review, and ProjectSummary provided at the end of this assignment description.
5. Make sure to provide responses to reflection questions listed in ProjectDeliverable4 file (this document).
6. A few screen shots showing test results of your working game and answers to reflection questions written inline in this document
7. Readme file (optional: submit if you have any special instructions for testing)

**----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------**

**Connect4 Game**:

Connect4 is a 2-player turn-based game played on a vertical board that has seven hollow columns and six rows. Each column has a hole in the upper part of the board, where pieces are introduced. There is a window for every square, so that pieces can be seen from both sides. In short, it´s a vertical board with 42 windows distributed in 6 rows and 7 columns. Both players have a set of 21 thin pieces (like coins); each of them uses a different color. The board is empty at the start of the game. The aim for both players is to make a straight line of four own pieces; the line can be vertical, horizontal or diagonal.

Reference: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Connect_Four>

**----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------**

**Program Requirements**:

To the previously developed Java-based Connect4 game, add a module to provide a graphical user interface (GUI) using JavaFX. Create a separate class called Connect4GUI.java in the ui package that generates the GUI.

* Continue to make use of good Object-Oriented design
* Provide documentation using Javadoc and appropriate comments in your code.
* Generate HTML documentation using Javadoc tool
* Make sure you provide appropriate Exception Handling (using try-catch blocks) throughout the program
* You can decide on the layout of the user interface and game board.
* At the start of the program, ask the user if they would like GUI or console-based UI. Then show the corresponding UI as per user preference. Next ask user if they want to play against computer or another player and then continue with the game.

**----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------**

**Personal Process:**

Follow a good personal process for implementing this game. You will be using PSP2 in this assignment. So, in addition to tracking your effort and defects you will have to estimate the effort and defects for the GUI module, provide exception handling routines, and conduct a personal code review.

* Please use the time log (provided at the end of this document) to keep track of time spent in each phase of development.
* Please use the defect log (provided at the end of this document) to keep track of defects found and fixed in each phase of development.
* When you are done implementing and testing your program, complete the Project Summary form to summarize your effort and defects. Also answer the reflection questions listed below in Post-mortem phase.

Follow these steps in developing this game:

1. **Plan**:

* understand the program specification and get any clarifications needed.
* estimate the time you are expecting to spend on the GUI development task.
* estimate the defects you are expecting to inject in each phase for GUI development task.
* estimate the size of the program (only for new code that you will be adding)
* enter this information in the Estimation columns of the Project Summary form. Use your best guess based on your previous programming experience. You will not be penalized for not having an estimate that is close to the actual. It takes practice to get better at estimation.
* use the provided estimating worksheet.

1. **Design** – create a design (for the new modules being added) in the form of a flow chart, break up of classes and methods, class diagram, pseudocode. Provide this design in the PSP design form provided later in the document. Keep track of time spent in this phase and log. Also keep track of any defects found and log them.
2. **Code** – implement the program. Keep track of time spent in this phase and log. Also keep track of any defects found and log them.
3. **Code Review** – use the code review guidelines/checklist provided later in the document to conduct a personal review of your code and fix any issues found. Provide comments in the checklist about your findings. There should be a minimum of 4 comments.
4. **Test** – Test your program thoroughly and fix bugs found. Keep track of time spent in this phase and log. Also keep track of any defects found and log them.
5. **Post Mortem** – Complete the actual columns of the project summary form and answer the following questions.
6. How good was your time and defect estimate for various phases of software development?
   1. I seriously underestimated the time for this project. But, that’s kinda par for the course, isn’t it? Don’t developers (at least the ones I work with) do this all the time. What I need to do in the future is make a time estimate and then add ~15% more time. For example, It took me 1233 minutes for this project, but I estimated 1080 minutes.
7. How good was your program size estimate, i.e., was it close to actual?
   1. Not remotely close. I thought it was going to only take 200 lines of code due to assuming I could use the code from the text version of the game. Possibly I still can, but at this time, I’m not sure how to do that. It required a complete redesign on new code for the GUI.
8. How many issues did you find in your code during code review?
   1. None. Finding errors in code review didn’t help. When I code, I write code, test it, code again, test, test again, code again, test more. By the time I get to the code review, the program is done.

**--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------**

**Grading Rubric**:

Working game and GUI – 15 points

Javadoc and Exception Handling – 5 points

Code review report – 10 points

Test Results and Postmortem reflection question responses – 5 points

PSP process – 15 points (Time log (4), Defect log (3), Estimation Worksheet (2), Design form(2), Project Summary(4)

**--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------**

PSP Time Recording Log

**See Excel Worksheet Provided**

* **Interruption time**: Record any interruption time that was not spent on the task. Write the reason for the interruption in the "Comment" column. If you have several interruptions, record them with plus signs (to remind you to total them).
* **Delta Time**: Enter the clock time you spent on the task, less the interrupt time.
* **Phase**: Enter the name or other designation of the programming phase being worked on. Example: Design or Code.
* **Comments**: Enter any other pertinent comments that might later remind you of any details or specifics regarding this activity.

**PSP1 Informal Size Estimating Procedure**

1. Study the requirements.

2. Sketch out a crude design.

3. Decompose the design into “estimatable” chunks.

4. Make a size estimate for each chunk, using a combination of:

\* visualization.


\* recollection of similar chunks that youíve previously written

\* intuition.

5. Add the sizes of the individual chunks to get a total.

**Estimating Worksheet**

1. Conceptual Design (sketch your high-level design here)



1. Module Estimates

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Module description** | **Estimated Size** |
| Connect4GUI class – Holds code for GUI | 200 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Total Estimated Size: \_\_\_200\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_


**PSP2 Project Summary**

**See Excel Worksheet Provided**

****

**PSP Design Form**

*Use this form to record whatever you do during the design phase of development. Include notes, class diagrams, flowcharts, formal design notation, or anything else you consider to be part of designing a solution that happens BEFORE you write program source code. Attach additional pages if necessary.*



PSP Defect Recording Log

**See Excel Worksheet Provided**

****

**Instructions**

* **Defect Type**: Use your best judgment in selecting which defect type applies from list provided below.
* **Defect Inject Phase**: Enter the phase when this defect was injected using your best judgment.
* **Defect Removal Phase**: Enter the phase during which you fixed the defect.
* **Fix Time**: Enter the time that you took to find and fix the defect.
* **Fix Ref**: If you or someone else injected this defect while fixing another defect, record the number of the improperly fixed defect. If you cannot identify the defect number, enter an X. If it is not related to any other defect, enter n/a.
* **Description**: Write a succinct description of the defect that is clear enough to later remind you about the error and help you to remember why you made it.

**PSP Defect Type Standard**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Type Number** | **Type Name** | **Description** |
| 10 | Documentation | Comments, messages |
| 20 | Syntax | Spelling, punctuation, typos, instruction formats |
| 30 | Build, Package | Change management, library, version control |
| 40 | Assignment | Declaration, duplicate names, scope, limits |
| 50 | Interface | Procedure calls and references, I/O, user formats |
| 60 | Checking | Error messages, inadequate checks |
| 70 | Data | Structure, content |
| 80 | Function | Logic, pointers, loops, recursion, computation, function defects |
| 90 | System | Configuration, timing, memory |
| 100 | Environment | Design, compile, test, or other support system problems |

**Code Review Checklist – Java**

1. Specification / Design

[x] Is the functionality described in the specification fully implemented by the code?   
[x] Is there any excess functionality in the code but not described in the specification?

**Comment**: Specifications do not ask us to make HBox or VBox or use BorderPane. I went ahead and did this to add a bit better UI for the players.

2. Initialization and Declarations

[x] Are all local and global variables initialized before use?

**Comment**: No. Two global variables, **playComputer** and **computersTurn** are declared but not initialized. This is by design. I do not want them initialized.

[x] Are variables and class members of the correct type and appropriate mode   
[x] Are variables declared in the proper scope?   
[x] Is a constructor called when a new object is desired?   
[x] Are all needed import statements included?

[x] Names are simple and if possible short

[x] There are no usages of ‘magic numbers’ (i.e, hard-coded values)

**Comment:** No. I specifically want the **STAGE\_WIDTH** and **STAGE\_HEIGHT** set at a certain width and height.

3. General

[x] Code is easy to understand

[x] Variable and Methods names are spelt correctly

[x] There is no dead code (i.e., code inaccessible at Runtime)

**Comment**: There are sections of code that are commented out because I would like to expand on the UI/UX. These will be uncommented when I work on the program more. These lines of code are not required in the specifications, but I would like to see them implemented at a later date.

[x] Code is not repeated or duplicated

**Comment:** Code is repeated as necessary. A senior developer could make the code look more eloquent, but if I was a senior developer, I wouldn’t be here at ASU.

[x] No empty blocks of code

4. Method Calls   
[x] Are parameters presented in the correct order?   
[x] Are parameters of the proper type for the method being called?  
[x] Is the correct method being called, or should it be a different method with a similar name?   
[x] Are method return values used properly? Cast to the needed type?

5. Arrays/Data structures   
[x] Are there any off-by-one errors in array indexing?   
[x] Can array indexes ever go out-of-bounds?   
[x] Is a constructor called when a new array item is desired?

[x] Ideal data structures are used

[x] Collections are initialized with a specific estimated capacity

6. Object   
[x] Are all objects (including Strings)  compared with "equals" and not "=="?

[x] No object exists longer than necessary

[x] Files/Sockets and other resources if used are properly closed even when an exception occurs in using them

7. Output Format   
[x] Are there any spelling or grammatical errors in displayed output?   
[x] Is the output formatted correctly in terms of line stepping and spacing?

8. Computation, Comparisons and Assignments   
[x] Check order of computation/evaluation, operator precedence and parenthesizing   
[x] Can the denominator of a division ever be zero?   
[x] Is integer arithmetic, especially division, ever used inappropriately, causing unexpected truncation/rounding?   
[x] Check each condition to be sure the proper relational and logical operators are used.   
[x] If the test is an error-check, can the error condition actually be legitimate in some cases?   
[x] Does the code rely on any implicit type conversions?

9. Exceptions

[x] Are all relevant exceptions caught?   
[x] Is the appropriate action taken for each catch block?

[x] Are all appropriate exceptions thrown?

[x] Are Catch clauses are fine-grained and catch specific exceptions?

10. Flow of Control

[x] In a switch statement is every case terminated by break or return?   
[x] Do all switch statements have a default branch?  
[x] Check that nested if statements don't have “dangling else” problems.   
[x] Are all loops correctly formed, with the appropriate initialization, increment and termination expressions?   
[x] Are open-close parentheses and brace pairs properly situated and matched?

11. Files

[x] Are all files properly declared and opened?   
[x] Are all files closed properly, even in the case of an error?   
[x] Are EOF conditions detected and handled correctly?   
[x] Are all file exceptions caught?

12. Documentation

[x] All methods are commented in clear language.

[x] Comments exist and describe rationale or reasons for decisions in code

[x] All public methods/interfaces/contracts are commented describing usage

[x] All edge cases are described in comments

[x] All unusual behavior or edge case handling is commented

[x] Data structures and units of measurement are explained

